#### CAPSurvey2012



Other (please specify)

16

#### 1. I am employed by or associated with:

	Response Percent	Response Count
government lab	5.6%	18
private sector enterprise	4.1%	13
large university (>30,000 students)	33.2%	106
medium sized university (15,000 - 30,000 students)	36.7%	117
small university (<15,000 students)	18.8%	60
college	1.6%	5

answered question	319
skipped question	14

#### 2. I am eligible to hold an NSERC grant:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	79.0%	263
No	14.4%	48
No opinion.	6.6%	22
	answered question	333
	skipped question	0

#### 3. I currently hold a grant from the following NSERC programs (choose all that apply):

	Response Percent	Response Count
DG	50.2%	167
RTI	8.7%	29
MRS	4.8%	16
SAP-DG	5.1%	17
SAP-project	10.2%	34
SAP-RTI	4.8%	16
SAP-MRS	3.9%	13
Discovery Accelerator Supplement	3.3%	11
Research Partnerships Program	5.1%	17
Not Applicable	34.8%	116
	answered question	333
	skipped question	0

## 4. I have applied for a grant from the following NSERC programs in the last four years (choose all that apply):

	Response Percent	Response Count
DG	48.3%	161
RTI	23.7%	79
MRS	8.1%	27
SAP-DG	5.1%	17
SAP-project	10.5%	35
SAP-RTI	7.5%	25
SAP-MRS	3.3%	11
Research Partnerships Program	8.1%	27
Not Applicable	31.5%	105
	answered question	333
	skipped question	0

## 5. Although I do not personally hold an NSERC grant, my research depends critically on the following types of NSERC grants held by someone else (choose all that apply):

	Response Percent	Response Count
DG	11.4%	38
RTI	4.2%	14
MRS	8.1%	27
SAP-DG	1.5%	5
SAP-Project	2.7%	9
SAP-RTI	2.1%	7
SAP-MRS	2.4%	8
Discovery Accelerator Supplement	2.7%	9
Research Partnerships Program	5.1%	17
Not Applicable	80.2%	267
	answered question	333
	skipped question	0

6. A key feature of the new DG evaluation system is that the scientific evaluation and placement of application into quality bins by expert panels is separated from decisions regarding funding amounts, ie. it has two steps. In my opinion:

	Response Percent	Response Count
The expert panels should have minimal input with regard to the grant size associated with each bin.	4.6%	14
There should be some input from the expert panels with regard to grant size associated with each bin.	16.6%	51
There should be significant input from the expert panels with regard to grant size associated with each bin.	28.3%	87
The grant size associated with each bin should be completely decided by the expert panels.	5.2%	16
Individual grant amounts should be decided by the expert panel on a case-by-case basis using the ranking in the binned system as the primary input.	33.9%	104
No opinion.	11.4%	35
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

7. Another important feature of the new system is that the three evaluation criteria (excellence of researcher (E), research proposal (P) and HQP record (H)) are equally weighted. In your opinion, what should be the relative weightings of these three criteria for established researchers?

	Response Percent	Response Count
E=P=H, ie. they should remain equally weighted	24.4%	75
E=P>H	38.4%	118
E=H>P	6.8%	21
E>P>H	8.1%	25
E>H>P	2.6%	8
E>P=H	4.6%	14
None of the above.	9.1%	28
No opinion.	5.9%	18
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

8. In your opinion, should the weighting of the HQP record for early career applicants be less than that for established researchers?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	82.1%	252
No	9.4%	29
No opinion.	8.5%	26
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 9. In your opinion, should there be some mechanism to allow some flexibility of the weightings based on, for example, career stage, field of research and research environment?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	86.6%	266
No	7.8%	24
No opinion	5.5%	17
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 10. Cost of research is taken into account as an adjustment within a funding bin. How large a role do you think cost of research should be play in funding decisions?

	Response Percent	Response Count
It should have significantly more weight in determining the grant size.	38.4%	118
The current approach is about right.	32.9%	101
It should have significantly less or no weight in determining the grant size.	7.5%	23
No opinion.	21.2%	65
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

11. What is your opinion about the following statement? "Applicants should have an opportunity to apply for a grant shorter than 5 years at the time they submit their request, provided they provide compelling reasons, and I would be willing to dedicate more time to reviewing grant applications to accommodate any increased load this introduces."

	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly agree	14.3%	44
Agree	39.7%	122
Neutral	24.8%	76
Disagree	9.1%	28
Strongly Disagree	1.3%	4
No opinion.	10.7%	33
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

#### 12. The impact of the changes to the DG two-step peer evaluation system on my research has been:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very positive	4.2%	13
Somewhat positive	8.8%	27
Neutral	18.6%	57
Somewhat negative	16.0%	49
Very negative	17.6%	54
No opinion.	34.9%	107
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 13. In your opinion, which of the following programs has had the highest scientific impact in your field?

	Response Percent	Response Count
RTI	37.8%	116
MRS	19.2%	59
Discovery Accelerator Supplement	4.2%	13
no opinion	38.8%	119
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 14. In your opinion, which of the following programs has had the lowest scientific impact in your field?

	Response Percent	Response Count
RTI	4.2%	13
MRS	9.8%	30
Discovery Accelerator Supplement	38.4%	118
no opinion	47.6%	146
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

#### 15. The impact of the loss of the RTI program on my research is:

	Response	Response
	Percent	Count
Neutral	21.2%	65
Somewhat negative	22.8%	70
Very negative	33.6%	103
No opinion.	22.5%	69
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

#### 16. The impact of the loss of the MRS program on my research is:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Neutral	28.0%	86
Somewhat negative	19.9%	61
Very negative	23.1%	71
No opinion.	29.0%	89
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

17. What is your opinion of the following statement? "In order to re institute and protect in future years something equivalent to the RTI and MRS programs, I would be willing to have the CAP-NSERC Liason Committee explore the possibility of having expert panels manage a suitably sized funding envelope which supports the DG, RTI and MRS programs for Physics, as exists or is being considered in other disciplines, and give them the freedom to determine the proportion of the funding envelope that is allocated to each of these programs based on guidance from a long term plan drawn up by the community."

	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	16.9%	52
Agree	45.0%	138
Neutral	14.0%	43
Disagree	7.8%	24
Strongly Disagree	1.6%	5
No opinion.	14.7%	45
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

18. I have been able to participate in CFI projects at my institution:		
	Response Percent	Response Count
A great deal	23.1%	71
Only moderately	28.3%	87
Not at all	25.4%	78
I have no need for CFI project funds	9.4%	29
No opinion.	13.7%	42
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 19. The number of CFI projects at my institution that have benefited my research program is:

	Response Percent	Response Count
0	25.7%	79
1 or 2	40.1%	123
>2	16.3%	50
No opinion.	17.9%	55
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 20. For my research, the main limitations of the existing CFI funding programs are (choose all that apply):

	Response Percent	Response Count
Requirement to align my research with the strategic priorities of my institution.	28.0%	86
Infrequent CFI competitions and delays in these competitions	31.3%	96
20% funding matching requirement	27.7%	85
40% provincial funding matching requirement	25.7%	79
Need to develop large scale projects involving many different disciplines and institutions that go beyond my primary research focus.	42.3%	130
None of the above.	7.5%	23
No opinion.	25.1%	77
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

# 21. What is your opinion on the following statement? "In the absence of the RTI program, it will be difficult to maintain, repair and extend my research infrastructure through the existing CFI funding programs."

	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly Agree	38.1%	117
Agree	17.3%	53
Neutral	10.4%	32
Disagree	3.6%	11
Strongly Disagree	1.6%	5
No opinion.	29.0%	89
	answered question	307
	skipped question	26

## 22. What information/factors does NSERC need to examine during its review of the effectiveness of the Discovery Grants Program?

Response	
Count	

171

answered question	171
skipped question	162

23. Do you have suggestions for improvements to the DG evaluation process (please keep them brief and constructive)?	
	Response Count
	140
answered question	140
skipped question	193
24. Please provide general comments about the impact of the elimination of the RTI program.	
	Response Count
	153
answered question	153
skipped question	180
25. Please provide general comments about the impact of the elimination of the MR program.	S
	Response Count
	117
answered question	117

# 26. Other Comments: Response Count 81 answered question 81 skipped question 252